



Guidance on COVID-19 v2.1. 26.05.2020

Use of PPE to support Infection Prevention and Control Practice when performing aerosol generating procedures on CONFIRMED or CLINICALLY SUSPECTED COVID-19 CASES in a PANDEMIC SITUATION

Version	Date	Changes from previous version	Drafted by
2.1	26.05.20	Updated to reflect Decision by NPHET dated 22nd April 2020 in relation to use of surgical masks in healthcare settings; o Surgical masks should be worn by healthcare workers when they are providing care to people and are within 2m of a person, regardless of the COVID-19 status of the person	HPSC
		o Surgical masks should be worn by all healthcare workers for all encounters , of 15 minutes or more, with other healthcare workers in the workplace where a distance of 2m cannot be maintained Addition of a FAQ section	

Transmission

Airborne transmission occurs when infectious particles travel over long distances on air currents. Only particles of less than 5µm are small/light enough to travel in this way. It is accepted that this is a major route of transmission for the viruses that cause chickenpox and measles and the bacteria that causes tuberculosis (*Mycobacterium tuberculosis*).

In addition to Standard Precautions, Airborne Precautions are recommended when caring for patients with these infectious diseases. Airborne Precautions, amongst other things, requires that healthcare workers in the room with the patient use a respirator mask such as an FFP2 to provide protection against airborne transmission.

Other viruses such as Influenza and SARS CoV-2 (COVID-19) are spread by larger respiratory particles of liquid referred to as droplets. These larger droplet particles tend to fall to adjacent surfaces relatively quickly (floor, table top) and do not travel long distances. Travel over long distances on air currents is generally not a significant factor in spread of these infections.

Spread of infection by droplet borne viruses requires either that the person is within 1 m of the patient so that the droplets impact directly on exposed mucosa or that virus is introduced into the respiratory tract following contamination of the hands with virus from droplets that has impacted on surfaces.

The most critical element in preventing transmission of respiratory viruses such as that associated with COVID-19 is consistent adherence to Standard Precautions in particular careful attention to hand hygiene, respiratory hygiene/cough etiquette and environmental hygiene. In addition to Standard Precautions, Contact and Droplet Precautions are appropriate when caring for patients with COVID-19. Contact and Droplet Precautions requires use of Personal Protective Equipment including use of a fluid resistant surgical facemask when within 1 m of patients.

Aerosol Generating Procedures

When performing certain medical procedures on patients infected with respiratory viruses, including SARS-CoV-2, smaller droplets can be formed which are light enough to travel on air. The extent to which these smaller droplets contribute to the spread of infection in the healthcare setting is unclear. Some procedures have been associated in studies with increased risk transmission of respiratory virus although it is not clear if this is because of airborne transmission or because there are aspects associated with the procedure that expose the operator to a high risk of infection by contact or droplets.

Some of the procedures which have been shown (in previous studies of Influenza and SARS CoV) to generate aerosols associated with an increased risk of transmission of pathogens, particularly for those in close proximity are outlined in the Table 1 below. The key paper is that of Tran *et al.* 2012. Aerosol Generating Procedures and Risk of Transmission of Acute Respiratory Infections to Healthcare Workers: A Systematic Review. PLoS One 2012

The following is a quote from the paper.

"We identified 5 case-control and 5 retrospective cohort studies which evaluated transmission of SARS to HCWs. Procedures reported to present an increased risk of transmission included [n; pooled OR(95%Cl)] tracheal intubation [n=4 cohort; 6.6 (2.3, 18.9), and n=4 case-control; 6.6 (4.1, 10.6)], non-invasive ventilation [n=2 cohort; OR 3.1(1.4, 6.8)], tracheotomy [n=1 case-control; 4.2 (1.5, 11.5)] and manual ventilation before intubation [n=1 cohort; OR 2.8 (1.3, 6.4)]. Other intubation associated procedures, endotracheal aspiration, suction of body fluids, bronchoscopy, nebulizer treatment, administration of O2, high flow O2, manipulation of O2 mask or BiPAP mask, defibrillation, chest compressions, insertion of nasogastric tube, and collection of sputum were not significant. Our findings suggest that some procedures potentially capable of generating aerosols have been associated with increased risk of SARS transmission to HCWs or were a risk factor for transmission, with the most consistent association across multiple studies identified with tracheal intubation."

A number of authoritative national bodies have produced lists of Aerosol Generating Procedures/Aerosol Generating Medical Procedures.

There some variations between the lists but the following generally feature consistently

- Endotracheal intubation and extubation
- Cardio-pulmonary resuscitation
- Open airway suctioning
- Bronchoscopy (Diagnostic or Therapeutic)
- Autopsy
- Sputum induction (Diagnostic or Therapeutic)

Some procedures are cited by some agencies but are not cited by other agencies for example

- Non-invasive positive pressure ventilation for acute respiratory failure (CPAP, BiPAP3-5)
- High flow oxygen therapy

One agency, the European Centre for Disease Control, has taken the view that swabbing the oropharynx and nasopharynx is an AGP but this view is not supported by evidence or a clear rationale and is inconsistent with guidance from the WHO (March 2020) and many other national agencies.

A number of other procedures have been identified which can generate small droplet particles mainly though the induction of coughing. A number of healthcare workers and professional bodies have drawn attention to concerns regarding these procedures and have advocated the use of respirator masks for healthcare workers performing such procedures on a precautionary principle. However, there is no evidence that these procedures are associated with an increased risk of transmission of respiratory virus. Of some relevance to this issue is a recent paper by Radanovich and colleagues (JAMA, 2019) which concluded that "Among outpatient health care personnel, N95 respirators* vs medical masks as worn by participants in this trial resulted in no significant difference in the incidence of laboratory-confirmed influenza." Therefore, in the general medical setting when caring for patients with a high incidence of respiratory tract infection there is evidence that a respirator mask provides no additional protection to that afforded by a surgical mask.

The setting in which this research was conducted was unlikely to include situations in which the healthcare worker is in close proximity to the oropharynx during instrumentation for extended periods. For a number of such procedures as outlined in Table 2 there is little or no evidence on which to assess their potential to generate aerosols that are associated with an increased risk of transmission of respiratory pathogens. For these procedures given the proximity to the patient, and the duration of the procedure it may be appropriate to adopt a precautionary approach even though they are likely be of LOW risk.

*equivalent to an FFP2 respirator mask

For guidance on donning and doffing PPE see www.hpsc.ie

Patient Placement

For infections known to be transmitted by the airborne route including Measles/Chickenpox and TB, airborne isolation in a negative pressure isolation room is recommended.

For infections that are spread by droplet and contact transmission negative pressure isolation rooms are not required for most patient care. Where high-risk procedures likely to generate aerosols associated with an increased risk of transmission of respiratory virus such as COVID-19, negative pressure isolation rooms are preferred if available. Where a negative pressure isolation room is not available, these procedures should be carried out in a single room with the door closed.

In a pandemic situation, if COVID-19 patients are cohorted together in one area, including those patients that require AGPs, the requirement for negative pressure isolation is less significant.

All staff working in an area where AGPs are being performed must wear appropriate PPE. The minimum number of personnel necessary should be present. Avoiding risk is always preferable to reliance on PPE.

Risk Assessment

As part of standard precautions it is the responsibility of every healthcare worker (HCW) to undertake a risk assessment **PRIOR** to performing a clinical care task as this will inform the level of infection prevention and control precautions needed including the choice of appropriate PPE for those who need to be present. If more than one task is anticipated with differing risks, the higher level of precautions should be taken for all of the tasks e.g. a HCW taking a temperature then proceeding to tracheostomy suctioning should take precautions appropriate for an Aerosol Generating Procedure.

Personal Protective Equipment

 On April 21 2020, the National Public Health Emergency Team (NPHET) made a decision to extend the use of surgical masks in healthcare settings to the following;

- Surgical masks should be worn by healthcare workers when they are providing care to people and are within 2m of a person, regardless of the COVID-19 status of the person.
- Surgical masks should be worn by all healthcare workers for all encounters, of 15 minutes or more, with other healthcare workers in the workplace where a distance of 2m cannot be maintained.
- For the purpose of this guidance healthcare workers should don a mask if they
 anticipate being within 2 m or more with other healthcare workers for a continuous
 period of 15 minutes or longer. It is not intended that healthcare workers should
 attempt to estimate in the morning the total duration of a sequence of very brief
 encounters that may occur during the day.

Table 1: Aerosol generating procedures, which have been associated with, increased risk of transmission of respiratory infection

Procedures	AGP Related Increased Risk of Pathogen Transmission	PPE for those with CONFIRMED OR SUSPECTED COVID-19 infection	
Intubation	Consistently recognised	Hand Hygiene	
		FFP2 RESPIRATOR MASK	
		Eye Protection	
		Gloves	
		Long Sleeved Gown	
Front of neck airway	Consistently recognised	Hand Hygiene	
procedures – Insertion of		FFP2 RESPIRATOR MASK	
tracheostomy,		Eye Protection	
cricothyroidotomy		Gloves	
		Long Sleeved Gown	
Tracheal Extubation	Consistently recognised	Hand Hygiene	
		FFP2 RESPIRATOR MASK	
		Eye Protection	

Procedures	AGP Related Increased Risk of Pathogen Transmission	PPE for those with CONFIRMED OR SUSPECTED COVID-19 infection	
		Gloves	
D	O contraction to	Long Sleeved Gown	
Bronchoscopy	Consistently recognised	Hand Hygiene	
		FFP2 RESPIRATOR MASK	
		Eye Protection	
		Gloves	
- ·		Long Sleeved Gown	
Positive pressure	Consistently recognised	Hand Hygiene	
ventilation with		FFP2 RESPIRATOR MASK	
inadequate seal*		Eye Protection	
		Gloves	
		Long Sleeved Gown	
CPR (pre intubation due	Consistently recognised	Hand Hygiene	
to manual ventilation)		FFP2 RESPIRATOR MASK	
		Eye Protection	
		Gloves	
		Long Sleeved Gown	
High Frequency	Consistently recognised	Hand Hygiene	
Oscillatory Ventilation		FFP2 RESPIRATOR MASK	
(HFOV)		Eye Protection	
		Gloves	
		Long Sleeved Gown	
Manual Ventilation	Consistently recognised	Hand Hygiene	
		FFP2 RESPIRATOR MASK	
		Eye Protection	
		Gloves	
		Long Sleeved Gown	

Procedures	AGP Related Increased Risk of Pathogen Transmission	PPE for those with CONFIRMED OR SUSPECTED COVID-19 infection
Open Suctioning-	Consistently recognised	Hand Hygiene
procedure where a		FFP2 RESPIRATOR MASK
single-use catheter		Eye Protection
inserted into the ETT		Gloves
either by disconnecting		Long Sleeved Gown
the ventilator tubing or via		
a swivel connector		
Induction of Sputum	Consistently recognised	Hand Hygiene
		FFP2 RESPIRATOR MASK
		Eye Protection
		Gloves
		Long Sleeved Gown
High Flow Nasal Oxygen	Accepted by many	Hand Hygiene
(HFNO) including AIRVO		FFP2 RESPIRATOR MASK
		Eye Protection
		Gloves
		Long Sleeved Gown
Non-invasive ventilation –	Accepted by many	Hand Hygiene
CPAP/BiPAP		FFP2 RESPIRATOR MASK
		Eye Protection
		Gloves
		Long Sleeved Gown

Table 2: Potential Aerosol Generating Procedures due to use of High Speed Devices

Procedure	AGP Related Increased Risk of Pathogen Transmission	PPE for CONFIRMED OR SUSPECTED COVID-19 infection	
Instruments used in	Consistently recognised	Hand Hygiene	
Autopsy Procedures		FFP2 RESPIRATOR MASK	
		Eye Protection	
		Gloves	
		Long Sleeved Gown	
Instruments used in	Consistently recognised	Hand Hygiene	
Dental Procedures		FFP2 RESPIRATOR MASK	
e.g. the use of a high-		Eye Protection	
speed hand piece or		Gloves	
ultrasonic instruments		Long Sleeved Gown	
aerosolise patient's			
respiratory secretions,			
saliva			
Instruments used in	Consistently recognised	Hand Hygiene	
surgical procedures e.g.		FFP2 RESPIRATOR MASK	
Neurosurgery & major		Full Face Visor	
maxillary facial ENT		Gloves	
procedures		Long Sleeved Gown	
		Hood	

Table 3: Procedures, which may be associated with increased risk due to levels of droplet dispersion, proximity to airway, duration of procedure +/- where installation of fluid or suctioning may be part of the procedure

Procedures	AGP Related	PPE COVID-19
	Increased Risk of	CONFIRMED OR
	Pathogen	SUSPECTED
	Transmission	
	Infection Risk	
Laryngoscopy	Plausible hypothesis- no	FFP2 RESPIRATOR MASK
	evidence	Eye Protection
		Gloves
		Long Sleeved Gown
		Eye Protection
Upper GI endoscopy	Plausible hypothesis- no	FFP2 RESPIRATOR MASK
	evidence	Gloves
		Eye Protection
		Gown/Plastic Apron
Transoesophageal	Plausible hypothesis- no	FFP2 RESPIRATOR MASK
Echo	evidence	Gloves
		Eye Protection
		Gown/Plastic Apron
Fibreoptic endoscopic	Plausible hypothesis-	FFP2 RESPIRATOR MASK
evaluation of swallowing	no evidence	Gloves
(FEES).		Eye Protection
		Gown/Plastic Apron

Table 4: Procedures which are unlikely to be of increased risk, as there are low levels of droplet dispersion, the health care worker is not very close to the airway, duration of procedure is short and where installation of fluid or suctioning is not part of the procedure. Note also paper of Radanovich (2019) conducted in a setting where many of these procedures are commonly performed.

Procedures	AGP Related Increased	PPE for those with	
	Risk of Pathogen	CONFIRMED OR	
	Transmission Infection	SUSPECTED	
	Risk	COVID-19 infection	
Collecting a nasopharyngeal	Not supported by evidence	Hand Hygiene	
swab	or plausible hypothesis and	Surgical Face Mask	
	not recognised by most	Gloves	
	national bodies.	Gown OR Plastic Apron*	
		Risk Assessment Re:	
		Eye Protection	
Delivery of nebulised	Not supported by evidence	Hand Hygiene	
medications via simple face	or plausible hypothesis and	Surgical Face Mask	
mask	not recognised by most	Gloves	
	national bodies.	Gown OR Plastic Apron*	
		Risk Assessment Re:	
		Eye Protection	
Closed suction systems	Not supported by evidence	Hand Hygiene	
(CSS) enable patients to be	or plausible hypothesis and	Surgical Face Mask	
suctioned by a suction	not recognised by most	Gloves	
catheter enclosed within a	national bodies.	Gown OR Plastic Apron*	
plastic sleeve, without the		Risk Assessment Re:	
need for ventilator		Eye Protection	
disconnection			
Chest Physiotherapy in	Not supported by evidence	Hand Hygiene	
absence of other AGP's	or plausible hypothesis and	Surgical Face Mask	
	not recognised by most	Gloves	
	national agencies.	Gown OR Plastic Apron*	

Procedures	AGP Related Increased	PPE for those with	
	Risk of Pathogen	CONFIRMED OR	
	Transmission Infection	SUSPECTED	
	Risk	COVID-19 infection	
		Risk Assessment Re:	
		Eye Protection	
Clinical dysphagia	Not supported by evidence	Hand Hygiene	
examinations- this	or plausible hypothesis and	Surgical Face Mask	
examination includes	not recognised by most	Gloves	
orofacial assessment and	national agencies.	Gown OR Plastic Apron*	
administration of food and/or		Risk Assessment Re:	
fluids to evaluate swallowing		Eye Protection	
ability			
Insertion of a nasogastric	Not supported by evidence	Hand Hygiene	
tube	or plausible hypothesis and	Surgical Face Mask	
	not recognised by most	Gloves	
	national agencies.	Gown OR Plastic Apron*	
		Risk Assessment Re:	
		Eye Protection	

^{*}Refer to National Guidelines on PPE

Table 5: Lower GI Procedures

Procedure	AGP Related Increased Risk of Pathogen Transmission Infection Risk	PPE for those with CONFIRMED OR SUSPECTED COVID-19 infection
Lower GI endoscopy	Not supported by evidence or plausible hypothesis and not recognised by most national agencies	Gloves Apron Risk Assessment • Eye Protection

Note. RNA detected in Faeces	•	Surgical Face
but no cases of COVID-19		Mask
transmission by this route have		
been reported		

Questions and Answers

Q. Is ear syringing an AGP?

A. Ear syringing involves irrigation of the external auditory meatus which is lined with squamous epithelium. It involves the use of low pressure irrigation so there is no reason to expect it to generate aerosols, furthermore SARS-CoV-2 virus does not replicate in squamous epithelium. Ear syringing is not an aerosol generating procedure associated with an increased risk of infection. Some patients may cough, however coughing is not considered to generate infectious aerosols (but does generate droplets)

Q. Is examination of the pharynx with or without the use of a tongue depressor an AGP?

A. Examination of the pharynx with or without the use of a tongue depressor is not an aerosol generating procedure associated with an increased risk of infection. It is now essentially universally accepted that even swabbing the nasopharynx for diagnostic purposes is not an aerosol generating procedure associated with an increased risk of infection.